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# Introduction

Virtual Reality (VR) is a “computer generated virtual environment” that a user can “move through” and “manipulate” in real time (Mandal 2013). Outside of its popularity within the gaming industry with games such as Beat Saber (Beat Games n.d.) and SuperHot VR (SuperHot n.d.), VR has also found its place within the world of education and therapy (Checa, Bustillo 2020). In Checa and Bustillo’s literature review, they found that in 2015 with the launch of “new high-quality affordable hardware and software media” for VR there was a significant boost to the number of publications in this area. In addition to VR’s role in treating and educating neurotypicals (Mantovani et al. 2004; Van Wyk, De Villiers 2009; Aïm et al. 2016),applications of VR in this context can also be seen in studies on individuals with phobias (Coelho et al. 2009), autism (Welch et al. 2009; Strickland et al. 1996), traumatic brain injury (Mondello et al. 2018).

Independent travel training is a form of therapy for individuals with learning disabilities with the aim of helping them achieve independence with regards to solo travel. Thus, the use of VR in this area allows for the training to be done in a safe and controlled environment.

Studies into the use of VR travel training have found that it can lead to more confidence with independent travel (Bernardes et al. 2015). Another study (Simões et al. 2018) found the use of a VR travel training application to have significantly reduced electrodermal activity (a metric of anxiety) with the addition of a high success rate for the application at an impressive 93.8%.

This project aims to build upon existing research into the use of virtual reality for independent travel training. To achieve this, the project will focus on a particular question with regards to locomotion and interaction paradigms in the virtual world and what method might cause the least amount of motion sickness in the application’s users.

Through a review of the relevant publications within this topic area, a reoccurring theme can be extracted from this, and we can conclude that interaction paradigms for individuals with learning disabilities is either under reported or under researched. This is especially prominent in the case of full immersion into the virtual environment wherein a keyboard and mouse are no longer a feasible option.

In **3/8** of these studies, motion sickness was measured using the SSQ while the remaining **5** did not have any measurement into the level of motion sickness experienced by the participants.

As highlighted in (Brown et al. 2002) most participants struggled to use a keyboard and mouse to navigate the virtual world with one participant finding “keyboard control very difficult”. A potential solution to this was identified via the joystick in which one participant had “almost instant success using joystick” on the Zebra crossing level. Subsequent iterations of this project then built upon this by having users walk in place while using the joystick to navigate forwards within the virtual space. Feedback from a few users on this approach found that this resulted in motion sickness and nausea. An alternative to this was to have the user stand still and use a back-and-forth swinging gesture of one arm to trigger movement in the virtual world. This resulted in a reduced reporting of motion sickness. Thus, this gives way to the question of when directly compared against each other, which method of locomotion is the more suitable option for this application. Furthermore, it raises the question of whether other factors might contribute to the reduction of motion sickness when applied to previously used locomotion methods.

When considering motion sickness, it is important to note that its occurrence isn’t entirely uncommon when brought about because of an individual’s immersion into a VR application. One study (Munafo et al. 2017) found in an experiment involving games presented through the Oculus Rift that the “overall incidence of motion sickness” was 56% amongst its 36 participants. The article by Chang et al. found that there are a few different causes of motion sickness in a VR application (Chang et al. 2020). These can be broken down into three main categories: “hardware”, “content” and “human factors”.

With regards to hardware, it is believed that motion sickness can be brought about due to delays generated by the latency effect present within the VR headset’s display as seen in the study by DiZio and Lackner in 1997 (as cited in Chang et al., 2020). The delay between what the user does and what is displayed to them does make for a rather disorientating experience. However, through numerous research papers over the years, several different solutions have been identified some involving hardware (Nguyen n.d.) while others use algorithms (Kumar Kundu et al. 2021) to reduce latency rates.

Nevertheless, studies such as the ones above on hardware and locomotion (López Ibáñez, Peinado n.d.) may not apply to this project’s travel training user base. This study (Wilson 2016) like most others, employs a sample of what can be assumed to be a majority group of neurotypicals and thus without express investigation into its application with those who have learning disabilities it cannot be so easily concluded that lower latency or a particular locomotion method would result in a reduction of motion sickness and thus an improved experience of the application.

Thus, by trialling different methods of locomotion through the application’s content with the inclusion of varying latency rates via VR hardware this project aims to determine the most suitable combination of hardware and content for its VR application based on conclusions drawn from the testing results with the participant group (Oak Field School 2022).

# Aims

The primary aim of this report is to explore the viability of Virtual Reality (VR) to assist people with learning disabilities with independent travelling.

A subsequent aim of this report is to demo a suitable system through a VR1 study and a VR2 trial (Birckhead et al. 2019) that enables individuals with learning disabilities to navigate a virtual space with minimal risk of experiencing motion sickness.

# Objectives

* Examine and analyse the current Independent Travel Training process by reviewing the positive impact it has had and its current limitations.
* Investigate the current effectiveness of VR as a Travel Training tool through comprehensive research into Travel Training studies and the predecessors to this application.
* Learn and gain an in-depth understanding of the experiences of those with learning disabilities, especially regarding independent travel.
* Prototype a VR Travel Training application that aligns with existing research and includes new ideas to create a useful tool that can be used by people with learning disabilities to build up their independent travel confidence.
* Conduct research and testing ethically, legally, and professionally in compliance with the British Computing Society’s (BCS) Code of Conduct.
* Document and report on the findings of this project in a detailed and comprehensive manner so that it may be used to supplement the understanding of interaction paradigms and locomotion in future research.

# Tasks and Deliverables

## Project Milestones

The primary milestones for the project are listed below:

* Project Planning Document Submission (Review Point 1)
* Non-Invasive Ethics Application Submission (Review point 2)
* Prototype 1 (Pre-Testing)
* Prototype 2 (Post-Testing)
* Showcase Entry and Report Review (Review Point 3)
* Final Prototype and Project Submission
* Project Showcase

## Scope

The minimum viable product (MVP) of this project is a VR application that contains at least four types of road crossing levels and includes two forms of locomotion – walking in place with the help of hand gestures and joystick-controlled walking. All relevant tasks will be identified and prioritised to achieve the MVP within the project deadline before any effort is put into exploring the out-of-scope features.

Deliverables outside of this scope would include the addition of more forms of locomotion such as the ability to hop within the VR world or float using a hoverboard as an alternative form of locomotion. Furthermore, the development of a custom set of 3D assets would also be outside of the scope due to how time-consuming the process tends to be. However, in the case that the project is ahead of its schedule, an attempt will be made to create more relevant 3D assets (e.g. houses that fit the local scene instead of using American assets).

## Project Outcome

Upon successful completion of the development and testing of the MVP of this project, the VR application will be prepared to be handed on to the client, the NICER group, to be used at Oak Field School.

## Project Tasks

### Research and Planning

* Conduct interviews with subject experts within the field to gain a more in depth understanding of the experiences of individuals with learning disabilities and of how the travel training application can be used to supplement their learning.
* Conduct a literature review into the topic area’s background and discuss the findings in relation to the aims and objectives of the project.
* Research the various alternative methods of navigation within the virtual world using the library and other online resources and research databases. Summarise these findings within the report with the key ideas identified being used to formulate the new ideas section of the report.
* Divide the different application features based on level of importance and create a Gantt Chart to better visualise the project timeline in relation to other commitments.

### Implementation

* Design and implement the automated traffic system
* Design and implement the application levels
* Design and implement the interaction paradigms and new navigation methods
* Design and implement the menu functionality
* Design and model or source all the necessary 3D assets required for this project
* Complete development of the initial prototype based on the scope MVP to be used during the testing phase.
* Complete development of the second prototype using feedback received from the participants during the testing phase.
* Complete development of the final prototype before the project submission deadline which may include additional features depending on time constraints.

### Testing

* Ensure all necessary ethics documentation is complete and clearance to begin testing has been received.
* Recruit participants using the method outlined in the ethics declaration via the NICER group.
* Conduct a review of all the testing equipment a couple of days prior to the testing session to ensure that all the necessary equipment is functional.
* Conduct the testing session in line with the BCS Code of Conduct and the process specified in the non-invasive ethics application.
* Obtain post-testing session feedback from both the participants and their trainers to be used in the development of the second prototype.

### Ethics

* Review the BCS Code of Conduct in relation to the project and outline the findings in the relevant report section.
* Research an appropriate methodology for the project and outline the project’s testing process in detail.
* Complete and submit the ethics declaration document by the specified deadline.
* Submit all the necessary paperwork for the non-invasive ethics application.
* Receive clearance to begin non-invasive ethical testing phase for the project.
* Continually review project’s progress in conjunction with the BCS Code of Conduct.

### Documentation

* Document the findings from the research phase in the project in a concise and cohesive manner within the report.
* Document and discuss challenges and hurdles during the project implementation phase and the methods used to solve them.
* Document and report on the finding’s of the testing phase.
* Review and compare this project's results against its predecessors' research and draw conclusions based on the findings.
* Discuss any remaining questions that can be explored in future work.
* Conduct thorough and frequent revisions into the project documentation. Additionally, submit draft versions of the documentation to the project’s supervisor for feedback.

# Gantt Chart
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# Resources

## Information

* Google Scholar – A well-known search engine designed for academia. It also contains author profiles which allows one to look for ways to contact them when needed.
* Library One Search – This is a good resource to access articles of research that are locked behind a paywall.
* Connected papers – Allows a user to find interconnected papers by displaying a mind map network of papers by similar authors or topics.

## Implementation

* 3DS Max – This will be used to design and animate the 3D models that will be placed within the Unreal Engine VR project.
* Unreal Engine 4 (UE4) – The game development engine that will be used to build the VR world. The implementation of locomotion methods and modification to latency levels will be done through this application. UE4 is a well-known tool for virtual reality world-building (previous iterations of this project have used this too).
* Photoshop or Pixlr – Both will be used to edit textures and create materials for the 3D models and world to achieve a level of realism.

## Testing

* NICER – Individuals with learning disabilities and their trainers who will participate in the testing process of the application. Their feedback will be used to further improve upon the application.
* Virtual reality lab in ISTEC – This will be the location used for the testing phase as it is quite spacious and well-equipped with the necessary kit for testing. This includes the Quest 2 and Pico 3 Pro VR headsets for the participants.

# Risk and Mitigation

Each risk is assessed based on its probability and impact using a scale of 1 to 5 wherein a value of 1 implies that this risk has either a high probability of occurrence or that if this risk were to happen it will have little to no impact on the project’s progress. A value of 5 implies either a very high probability of occurrence or if this risk were to happen it will seriously impact the project’s progress.

The risk score is calculated by multiplying the probability by the impact score to determine its overall potential influence on the project’s progress with a higher score indicating a greater severity. In certain cases, with high impact risks, the mitigative cost might be far greater than others and thus the risk will still need to be taken for the project to continue.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. | Risk Description | Probability  (1 – 5) | Impact  (1 - 5) | Risk Score  (P x I) | Mitigative Action |
| 1. | Insufficient knowledge and background research on virtual reality or travel training methodologies. | 1 | 4 | 4 | All the necessary background research will be conducted before the implementation of the solution through a wide variety of sources as highlighted in the Resource section of this document. |
| 2. | The project suffers from scope creep due to objectives not being well-defined and thus the project becomes too complex. | 2 | 5 | 10 | Clear objectives will be established during the early stages of the project and with the use of Agile methodology, any required changes will be thoroughly and frequently reviewed before approval. |
| 3. | The chosen resources are not suitable for the project. | 2 | 3 | 6 | A thorough review of the required resources will be conducted, and a justification will be provided based on research done before the start of the project. |
| 4. | The project suffers from a time crunch due to poor scheduling. | 2 | 5 | 10 | A Gantt chart will be used to map out key deliverable dates and will include the necessary flexibility in case a certain element requires more time than previously anticipated. |
| 5. | Loss of some or all of the project’s 3D assets. | 2 | 4 | 8 | All assets will be backed-up via a hard drive in addition to being stored on a private GitHub repository. |
| 6. | Loss of some or all the project’s documentation. | 2 | 4 | 8 | All documentation will be backed-up via a hard drive in addition to being stored on a private GitHub repository. |
| 7. | Loss of some or all parts of the Unreal Engine project files. | 2 | 4 | 8 | All Unreal Engine project files will be backed-up via a hard drive in addition to being stored on a private GitHub repository. |
| 8. | Equipment malfunctions during the testing stage | 3 | 4 | 12 | All equipment will be tested a day before the actual testing session in addition to being tested once again before the session begins to ensure everything is still functional. A backup set of equipment will be prepared when possible. |
| 9. | A major bug is found during the testing stage. | 2 | 4 | 8 | The project will have two testing phases in which the initial one will be used to gather feedback from the clients on any bugs or requirements that they would like the project to address. |
| 10. | Due to the shared use of Virtual Reality headsets and gear, participants might be at risk of COVID-19. | 3 | 3 | 9 | All equipment will be sanitised before and after each testing session in addition to being sanitised between use by testing participants. All participants will also be asked if they’ve had any symptoms before joining the testing session. |
| 11. | Participants experience some form of headache or eye strain because of the extended use of the VR application. | 3 | 2 | 6 | Participants’ time spent immersed within the application will also be limited as a means of reducing the probability of the risk’s occurrence. |
| 12. | Participants experience some form of motion sickness, nausea, or vertigo because of the VR application. | 3 | 2 | 6 | A discussion will be had with the participant before, during and after the testing stage to identify and mitigate any risks. Their well-being will be monitored to spot any adverse reactions to the application during the session. Participants’ time spent immersed within the application will also be limited as a means of reducing the probability of the risk’s occurrence. In the case they do experience any of the risk’s symptoms, they will be invited to have a break and given the opportunity to continue later once they have recovered. |

# Legal, Social, Ethical and Professional Issues (LSEPIs)
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